
From: Danita Beauchamp <danitaab2016@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 8, 2022 10:22 AM
To: info-POC
Subject: July 14, 2022 Agenda Item #4 Question

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Agenda Item #4 discusses the youth at the Campus Kilpatrick and Camp Scott locations. I know Mr. Adam Bettino is scheduled to discuss this topic, but I pose this question to whomever is most qualified to provide such information.

I would like to know, will the youth continue to be transferred to Camp Scott with the pending litigation from the city of Santa Clarita and if so, what will happen if the youth are transferred to that location and the lawsuit is found in favor of the plaintiff? Will there be an automatic repeal lawsuit? Where will the youth then be sent in the interim?

Thank you kindly.

Sincerely,
Danita Beauchamp

From: Dave Goldstein <dginfosys@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2022 2:20 PM
To: info-POC
Subject: Public Comment - Secure Track progress to Camp Scott

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Probation Oversight Committee,

Despite numerous documented warnings about the fire danger in the TX canyon area where Camp Scott/Scudder is located, since the very mild month of May, the following fires (below) have broken out in the area. One very close by, and one upwind in the same canyon that reached 50 acres. Had there been any wind, it would have been another disaster. Several residents nearby also shoot full-blown fireworks off over the camp and nobody stops them. I hope the Probation union is on top of the matter of placing youth and workers in life-threatening conditions. When combined with housing level 4 and 5 offenders in a level 1 minimum security facility, I don't envy the conditions you are being asked to endure. If your union is not actively fighting this, they are not doing their job and you are not being represented. I recommend you contact your union reps immediately. Camp Scott is a disaster waiting to happen. Fire season is coming, and neighborhoods are arming up and training in preparation. Either way, someone is going to be hurt if this goes through. If you truly care about the well-being of these youth, end it before it is too late.

Saugus Area fires From May 1

- Vasquez Cyn, 1 acre
- Spunky Cyn 50 acres
- Saugus, Tree fire, .1 acre
- Bouquet Junction, .25 acre
- Saugus, .1 acre
- Newhall Ave. Tree fire, .1 acre
- Canyon Country, Structure fire, .1 acre
- Ft. Tejon, Wildfire,
- Bouquet Cyn Rd 5 acres
- Golden Valley Rd, 5 acres
- Castaic, Lightening strike, .5 acre
- Sylmar, 25 acres
- Telegraph Fire 1.5 acres
- Circle J Ranch, .25 acre
- Owen Fire, .25 acre
- Golden fire, .125 acre
- Castaic, 2 acres

From: Mark Tilton <mtilton232@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2022 11:11 AM
To: info-POC
Subject: oppose of Camp Scott - Scudder
Attachments: development2.docx

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Thanks-Mark

My family and I moved to 20117 Zimmerman Place in 1998, and we want to voice our concerns and opposition about turning camp Scott/Scudder into a Youth Treatment Facility.

Barry J Nidorf Juvenile Hall in Sylmar is a much better location. Not only is it closer to the freeway which allows easier and faster routes for family members to visit, which is at least 25 minutes each way, but there are numerous other reasons such as.

1. They are already there, while they spend most of their time in pre and post adjudication status.
2. Since they are already there, this option is least disruptive to their care and supervision.
3. Nidorf is being used until Campus Kilpatrick is updated. Why change it??????
4. Using Barry J. Nidorf Juvenile Hall is the housing solution that makes the most sense from a practical and **FINANCIAL** perspective, due to the fact LA County and its board **MUST** renovate Barry J. Nidorf so that it's suitable to confine these minors, as declared by the CA Board of State and Community Corrections. If we use Nidorf we're not starting from ground Zero.

Why spend hundreds of thousands of dollars on a facility that's **not** large enough and still **won't** work, when that money can be used to update Nidorf, again the place where the youth are already utilizing. You will be using Taxpayer's dollars to renovate one of these camps and we should have a say! Again, why would you spend hundreds of thousand of dollars at Camp Scott/Scudder which would be temporary, just to turn around and spend more of our tax dollars all over again to renovate Nidorf.

All youth with a juvenile justice case – not just DJJ youth – are currently housed at Nidorf and spend most of their time there. They spend years there, not months, just like DJJ youth will need to. So, selecting Nidorf benefits many more youth since they ALL would have access to a newly updated, trauma-informed facility designed just for them. Selecting Nidorf to house DJJ youth is a solution that works for the greater good.

I urge/beg you to PLEASE reconsider using Camp Scudder/Scott for housing these violent criminals. Barry J Nidorf Juvenile Hall in Sylmar is a much better location not Camp Scudder/Scott for so many reasons, especially **financially**.

Sincerely

Mark, Noreen Zack and Jake Tilton

20117 Zimmerman Pl

Santa Clarita, Ca 91390

Name	If you would like to submit your public comment in advance, please type it out here. You may also send an email to info@poc.lacounty.gov .
Adrienne Berke	<p>What will take place at Campus Kilpatrick, a low-risk campus, to become and ensure a campus that educates and ensures the safety of the school, staff, and community, that now houses high-risk children/adults?</p> <p>Thank you for the consideration of this question which is of major concern.</p>

From: Stanton-Trehan, Megan <megan.stanton-trehan@lls.edu>
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2022 5:00 PM
To: info-POC
Subject: Public Comment 7/14 POC Meeting

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.

Hello Commissioners:

As an attorney representing young people who are detained in probation juvenile halls and camps in LA County, I wanted to note that I think young people should be involved in designing their own secure track facility. We should hear from young people in the compound about what they need. Perhaps it would be wise to consider a series of focus groups that include education of what options they have for education and programming and then asks for their opinion about what they need. I think this needs to happen regardless of when youth are moved to the specified locations of Campus Kilpatrick and Camp Scott.

In addition, I would like to note a concern about probation facilities. It current takes hours to visit our clients inside. I have personally waited 2 hours and not seen a client. This is not acceptable and POC should investigate!

Lastly, please consider a long-term plan for addressing Covid-19 related quarantines in the facilities. This is still a huge concern in 2022!

Megan Stanton-Trehan (she/her/hers)

Director and Visiting Associate Clinical Professor
Youth Justice Education Clinic

Center for Juvenile Law and Policy



Founders Hall 241
919 Albany Street
Los Angeles, CA 90015
lls.edu | [Privacy](#) + [Legal](#)

Office [213.736.1325](tel:213.736.1325)
Fax: [424.372.2981](tel:424.372.2981)
Mobile [530.686.5793](tel:530.686.5793)
Email megan.stanton-trehan@lls.edu

